
Prepared for

DLR Group 1650 Spruce Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92507 Kevin Fleming



FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT

OF

HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

PREPARED BY:

EMG

10461 Mill Run Circle, Suite 1100 Owings Mills, Maryland 21117 800.733.0660 www.emgcorp.com

EMG CONTACT:

Mark Surdam
Program Manager
800.733.0660 x6251
msurdam@emgcorp.cor

EMG PROJECT #: 119317.16R000-005.017

DATE OF REPORT: May 23. 2016

ONSITE DATE: May 3, 2016

Immediate Repairs Report Hope View Elementary 5/23/2016



| Report Section | ID | Cost Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Subtotal | Deficiency Repair Estimate * |
|----------------|-----------|---|----------|------|------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| 3.1 | 435528 | ADA, Door, Lever Handle Hardware, Install | 28 | EA | \$202.40 | \$5,667 | \$5,667 |
| 3.1 | 436344 | ADA, Kitchen, Cabinetry, Modify | 320 | LF | \$126.50 | \$40,480 | \$40,480 |
| 3.1 | 435530 | ADA, Restroom, Lavatory Pipe Wraps, Install | 2 | EA | \$75.90 | \$152 | \$152 |
| 5.2 | 435536 | Concrete Sidewalk, Replace | 120 | SF | \$19.82 | \$2,379 | \$2,379 |
| 5.3 | 435542 | Concrete Pavement, Roadways, Replace | 360 | SF | \$19.82 | \$7,136 | \$7,136 |
| 7.1 | 435567 | Heat Pump, 3.5 to 5 Ton, Replace | 1 | EA | \$8,928.22 | \$8,928 | \$8,928 |
| Immediate Rep | airs Tota | al | | | | | \$64,742 |

^{*} Location Factor (1.0) included in totals.

Replacement Reserves Report

Hope View Elementary

5/23/2016





TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | Execu | ıtive Summary | |
|-----|---------|--|----|
| | 1.1. | Property Information and General Physical Condition | |
| | 1.2. | Facility Condition Index (FCI) | 3 |
| | 1.3. | Special Issues and Follow-Up Recommendations | |
| | 1.4. | Opinions of Probable Cost | |
| | | Methodology | |
| | | Immediate Repairs | |
| | | Replacement Reserves | |
| 2. | Purpo | se and Scope | |
| | 2.1. | Purpose | 6 |
| | 2.2. | Scope | |
| | 2.3. | Personnel Interviewed | |
| | 2.4. | Documentation Reviewed | |
| | 2.5. | Pre-Survey Questionnaire | |
| | 2.6. | Weather Conditions | |
| 3. | Acces | ssibility and Property Research | |
| | 3.1. | ADA Accessibility | |
| | 3.2. | Municipal Information, Flood Zone and Seismic Zone | |
| 4. | Existi | ng Building Assessment | 11 |
| | 4.1. | Unit or Space Types | 11 |
| | 4.2. | Inaccessible Areas or Key Spaces Not Observed | 11 |
| 5. | Site Ir | nprovements | 12 |
| | 5.1. | Utilities | 12 |
| | 5.2. | Parking, Paving, and Sidewalks | |
| | 5.3. | Drainage Systems and Erosion Control | 13 |
| | 5.4. | Topography and Landscaping | 14 |
| | 5.5. | General Site Improvements | 14 |
| 6. | Buildi | ng Architectural and Structural Systems | 16 |
| | 6.1. | Foundations | 16 |
| | 6.2. | Superstructure | 16 |
| | 6.3. | Roofing | 16 |
| | 6.4. | Exterior Walls | |
| | 6.5. | Exterior and Interior Stairs | |
| | 6.6. | Exterior Windows and Doors | |
| | 6.7. | Patio, Terrace, and Balcony | |
| 7. | Buildi | ng Mechanical and Plumbing Systems | |
| | 7.1. | Building Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) | 20 |
| | 7.2. | Building Plumbing and Domestic Hot Water | 22 |
| | 7.3. | Building Gas Distribution | |
| | 7.4. | Building Electrical | |
| | 7.5. | Building Elevators and Conveying Systems | |
| | 7.6. | Fire Protection and Security Systems | 24 |
| 8. | interio | or Spaces | |
| | 8.1. | Interior Finishes | |
| | 8.2. | Commercial Kitchen and Laundry Equipment | 26 |
| 9. | Other | Structures | 27 |
| | Certif | ication | 27 |
| 10. | Appei | ndices | 29 |
| | | | |

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1. PROPERTY INFORMATION AND GENERAL PHYSICAL CONDITION

The property information is summarized in the table below. More detailed descriptions may be found in the various sections of the report and in the Appendices.

| | Property Information |
|--|---|
| Address: | 17622 Flintstone Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County, California, 92647 |
| Year Constructed/Renovated: | 1967 original construction with single main building (Building A), several portable library and classroom buildings, and a portable multi-purpose building. One 2006 modular building addition. |
| Current Occupants: | Hope View Elementary School |
| | Ocean View School District |
| | Craig Sample, Maintenance & Operations Supervisor |
| Management Point of Contact: | 714.847.7083 phone |
| | 714.847.3445 cell |
| | csample@ovsd.org |
| Property Type: | Elementary School |
| Site Area: | +/-14.38 acres |
| Building Area: | 50,734 SF |
| Number of Buildings: | 13 |
| Number of Stories: | 1 |
| Parking Type and Number of Spaces: | 112 spaces in open lots |
| Building Construction: | Steel wall studs with steel roof joists on main building |
| | Wood walls studs with steel roof joists on portable buildings |
| Deef Occarionation | Flat roofs with built-up membrane |
| Roof Construction: | Flat roofs with steel finish on several portable buildings |
| Exterior Finishes: | Brick veneer on main building, painted wood siding on portable buildings |
| The Control of the Co | Central system with boiler and chiller feeding terminal units on main building |
| Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning: | Individual packaged heat pump units on portable buildings |
| Fire and Life/Safety: | Fire sprinklers, hydrants, smoke detectors, alarms, strobes, extinguishers, alarm panel, and exit signs |
| Dates of Visit: | May 3, 2016 |
| On-Site Point of Contact (POC): | Noah Valadez |
| Assessment and Report Prepared by: | Henry Guo |
| Reviewed by: | Daniel White Report Reviewer for Mark Surdam Program Manager msurdam@emgcorp.com 800.733.0660 x6251 |



EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

| Systemic Condition Summary | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------|------------|------|--|--|
| Site | Fair | HVAC | Good | | |
| Structure | Good | Plumbing | Good | | |
| Roof | Good | Electrical | Good | | |
| Vertical Envelope | Good | Elevators | | | |
| Interiors | Good | Fire | Good | | |

The following bullet points highlight the most significant short term and modernization recommendations:

- Replacement of rooftop heat pump over Teacher's Prep Room in main building
- Replacement of old wall and roof mounted heat pump units on portable buildings
- Replacement of some areas of concrete sidewalk with broken and displaced concrete slab
- Multiple remodeling items to satisfy ADA requirements
- Re-grading of concrete pavement to the south of portable building 908/909 (classrooms #25 and #26) to prevent storm water from entering classrooms
- Modernization of original 1960's electrical system
- Modernization of original 1960's plumbing system

Generally, the property appears to have been constructed within industry standards in force at the time of construction. The property appears to have been well maintained since it was first occupied and is in good overall condition.


According to property management personnel, the property has had an active capital improvement expenditure program over the past three years, primarily consisting of new interior floor finishes, interior painting, new mechanical equipment, asphalt pavement seal coating, and roof finish replacement. Supporting documentation was not provided in support of these claims but some of the work is evident.



1.2. FACILITY CONDITION INDEX (FCI)

FCI Analysis: Hope View Elementary

Replacement Value: \$8,377,000; Inflation rate: 3.0%



One of the major goals of the FCA is to calculate the FCI, which gives an indication of a building's overall condition. Two FCI ratios are calculated and presented, the Current Year and Ten-Year. The Current Year FCI is the ratio of Immediate Repair Costs to the building's Current Replacement Value. Similarly, the Ten-Year FCI is the ratio of anticipated Capital Reserve Needs over the next ten years to the Current Replacement Value.

| FCI Condition Rating | Definition | Percentage Value |
|----------------------|--|-------------------|
| Good | In new or well-maintained condition, with no visual evidence of wear, soiling or other deficiencies. | 0% to 5% |
| Fair | Subjected to wear and soiling but is still in a serviceable and functioning condition. | > than 5% to 10% |
| Poor | Subjected to hard or long-term wear. Nearing the end of its useful or serviceable life. | > than 10% to 60% |
| Very Poor | Has reached the end of its useful or serviceable life. Renewal is now necessary. | > than 60% |

The graphs above and tables below represent summary-level findings for the FCA. The deficiencies identified in this assessment can be combined with potential new construction requirements to develop an overall strategy that can serve as the basis for a portfolio-wide capital improvement funding strategy. Key findings from the assessment include:



EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

| Key Finding | Metric | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------|--|
| Current Year Facility Condition Index (FCI) FCI = (IR)/(CRV) | 0.8% | Good | |
| 10-Year Facility Condition Index (FCI) FCI = (RR)/(CRV) | 50.4% | Poor | |
| Current Replacement Value (CRV) | 50,734 SF * 165.12 / SF = \$8,377,000 | | |
| Year 1 (Current Year) - Immediate Repairs (IR) | \$64 | ,742 | |
| Years 2-10 – Replacement Reserves (RR) | \$4,157,523 | | |
| TOTAL Capital Needs | \$4,22 | 2,265 | |

The major issues contributing to the Immediate Repair Costs and the Current Year FCI ratio are summarized below:

- Replacement of rooftop heat pump over Teacher's Prep Room in main building
- Replacement of some areas of concrete sidewalk with broken and displaced concrete slab
- Multiple remodeling items to satisfy ADA requirements
- Re-grading of concrete pavement to the south of portable building 908/909 (classrooms #25 and #26) to prevent storm water from entering classrooms

Further detail on the specific costs that make up the Immediate Repair Costs can be found in the cost tables in the appendices.

1.3. SPECIAL ISSUES AND FOLLOW-UP RECOMMENDATIONS

As part of the FCA, a limited assessment of accessible areas of the building(s) was performed to determine the presence of suspected fungal growth, conditions conducive to such growth, and/or evidence of moisture. Property personnel were interviewed concerning any known or suspected fungal growth, elevated relative humidity, water intrusion, or mildew-like odors. Sampling is not a part of this assessment.

There are no visual indications of the presence of suspected fungal growth, conditions conducive to such growth, or evidence of moisture or moisture affected material in representative readily accessible areas of the property.

Although the DLR schools conform to codes when they are built or modernized, current codes call for the installation of fire sprinklers throughout. The installation of such systems as well as seismic upgrades may be required when major renovations or replacements take place.

No follow-up studies are recommended.

1.4. OPINIONS OF PROBABLE COST

Cost estimates are attached at the front of this report (following the cover page).

These estimates are based on Invoice or Bid Document/s provided either by the Owner/facility and construction costs developed by construction resources such as *R.S. Means* and *Marshall & Swift*, EMG's experience with past costs for similar properties, city cost indexes, and assumptions regarding future economic conditions.

Opinions of probable costs should only be construed as preliminary, order of magnitude budgets. Actual costs most probably will vary from the consultant's opinions of probable costs depending on such matters as type and design of suggested remedy, quality of materials and installation, manufacturer and type of equipment or system selected, field conditions, whether a physical deficiency is repaired or replaced in whole, phasing of the work (if applicable), quality of contractor, quality of project management exercised, market conditions, and whether competitive pricing is solicited, etc. ASTM E2018-15 recognizes that certain opinions of probable costs cannot be developed within the scope of this guide without further study. Opinions of probable cost for further study should be included in the FCA.



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

1.4.1. METHODOLOGY

Based upon site observations, research, and judgment, along with referencing Expected Useful Life (EUL) tables from various industry sources, EMG opines as to when a system or component will most probably necessitate replacement. Accurate historical replacement records, if provided, are typically the best source of information. Exposure to the elements, initial quality and installation, extent of use, the quality and amount of preventive maintenance exercised, etc., are all factors that impact the effective age of a system or component. As a result, a system or component may have an effective age that is greater or less than its actual chronological age. The Remaining Useful Life (RUL) of a component or system equals the EUL less its effective age. Projections of Remaining Useful Life (RUL) are based on continued use of the Property similar to the reported past use. Significant changes in occupants and/or usage may affect the service life of some systems or components.

Where quantities could not be derived from an actual take-off, lump sum costs or allowances are used. Estimated costs are based on professional judgment and the probable or actual extent of the observed defect, inclusive of the cost to design, procure, construct and manage the corrections.

1.4.2. IMMEDIATE REPAIRS

Immediate repairs are opinions of probable costs that require immediate action as a result of: (1) material existing or potential unsafe conditions, (2) material building or fire code violations, or (3) conditions that, if not addressed, have the potential to result in, or contribute to, critical element or system failure within one year or will most probably result in a significant escalation of its remedial cost.

1.4.3. REPLACEMENT RESERVES

Replacement Reserves are for recurring probable expenditures, which are not classified as operation or maintenance expenses. The replacement reserves should be budgeted for in advance on an annual basis. Replacement Reserves are reasonably predictable both in terms of frequency and cost. However, Replacement Reserves may also include components or systems that have an indeterminable life but, nonetheless, have a potential for failure within an estimated time period.

Replacement Reserves exclude systems or components that are estimated to expire after the reserve term and are not considered material to the structural and mechanical integrity of the subject property. Furthermore, systems and components that are not deemed to have a material effect on the use of the Property are also excluded. Costs that are caused by acts of God, accidents, or other occurrences that are typically covered by insurance, rather than reserved for, are also excluded.

Replacement costs are solicited from ownership/property management, EMG's discussions with service companies, manufacturers' representatives, and previous experience in preparing such schedules for other similar facilities. Costs for work performed by the ownership's or property management's maintenance staff are also considered.

EMG's reserve methodology involves identification and quantification of those systems or components requiring capital reserve funds within the assessment period. The assessment period is defined as the effective age plus the reserve term. Additional information concerning system's or component's respective replacement costs (in today's dollars), typical expected useful lives, and remaining useful lives were estimated so that a funding schedule could be prepared. The Replacement Reserves Schedule presupposes that all required remedial work has been performed or that monies for remediation have been budgeted for items defined in the Immediate Repair Cost Estimate.



EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

2. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

2.1. PURPOSE

EMG was retained by the client to render an opinion as to the Property's current general physical condition on the day of the site visit.

Based on the observations, interviews and document review outlined below, this report identifies significant deferred maintenance issues, existing deficiencies, and possible issues or violations of record at municipal offices, which affect the Property's use. Opinions are rendered as to its structural integrity, building system condition, and the Property's overall condition. The report also notes building systems or components that have realized or exceeded their typical expected useful lives.

FORMAT OF THE BODY OF THE REPORT:

Throughout sections 5 through 9 of this report, each report section will typically contain three subsections organized in the following sequence:

- A descriptive table (and/or narrative), which identifies the components assessed, their condition, and other key data points.
- A simple bulleted list of Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements, which lists components and assets typically in Excellent, Good, or Fair condition at the time of the assessment but that will require replacement or some other attention once aged past their estimated useful life. These listed components are typically included in the associated inventory database with costs identified and budgeted beyond the first several years.
- A bulleted cluster of Actions/Comments, which include more detailed narratives describing deficiencies, recommended repairs, and short term replacements. The assets and components associated with these bullets are/were typically problematic and in Poor or Failed condition at the time of the assessment, with corresponding costs included within the first few years.

CONDITIONS:

Not Applicable

The physical condition of building systems and related components are typically defined as being in one of five conditions: Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor, Failed or a combination thereof. For the purposes of this report, the following definitions are used:

| Excellent | = | New or very close to new; component or system typically has been installed within the past year, sound and performing its function. Eventual repair or replacement will be required when the component or system either reaches the end of its useful life or fails in service. |
|-----------|---|---|
| Good | = | Satisfactory as-is. Component or system is sound and performing its function, typically within the first third of its lifecycle. However, it may show minor signs of normal wear and tear. Repair or replacement will be required when the component or system either reaches the end of its useful life or fails in service. |
| Fair | = | Showing signs of wear and use but still satisfactory as-is, typically near the median of its estimated useful life. Component or system is performing adequately at this time but may exhibit some signs of wear, deferred maintenance, or evidence of previous repairs. Repair or replacement will be required due to the component or system's condition and/or its estimated remaining useful life. |
| Poor | = | Component or system is significantly aged, flawed, functioning intermittently or unreliably; displays obvious signs of deferred maintenance; shows evidence of previous repair or workmanship not in compliance with commonly accepted standards; has become obsolete; or exhibits an inherent deficiency. The present condition could contribute to or cause the deterioration of contiguous elements or systems. Either full component replacement is needed or repairs are required to restore to good condition, prevent premature failure, and/or prolong useful life. |
| Failed | = | Component or system has ceased functioning or performing as intended. Replacement, repair, or other significant corrective action is recommended or required. |

= Assigning a condition does not apply or make logical sense, most commonly due to the item in question not being present.



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

PLAN TYPES:

Safety

Each line item in the cost database is assigned a Plan Type, which is the primary reason or rationale for the recommended replacement, repair, or other corrective action. This is the "why" part of the equation. A cost or line item may commonly have more than one applicable Plan Type; however, only one Plan Type will be assigned based on the "best" fit, typically the one with the greatest significance. The following Plan Types are listed in general weighted order of importance:

| | | component that presents a potential liability risk. |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Performance/Integrity | = | Component or system has failed, is almost failing, performs unreliably, does not perform as intended, and/or poses a risk to overall system stability. |
| Accessibility | = | Does not meet ADA, CBC and/or other handicap accessibility requirements. |
| Environmental | = | Improvements to air or water quality, including removal of hazardous materials from the building or |

An observed or reported unsafe condition that if left unaddressed could result in an injury; a system or

components performing acceptably at the present time but will likely require replacement or other

Environmental = Improvements to air or water quality, including removal of hazardous materials from the building or site.

Modernization/Adaptation = Conditions, systems, or spaces that need to be upgraded in appearance or function to meet current standards, facility usage, or client/occupant needs.

Lifecycle/Renewal = Any component or system in which future repair or replacement is anticipated beyond the next several years and/or is of minimal substantial early-term consequence.

PRIORITIZATION SCHEME:

One of EMG's data-sorting exercises and deliverables of fundamental value is to evaluate and rank the recommendations and needs of the facility via a logical and well-developed prioritization scheme. The factors under consideration and built into the evaluation criteria include Plan Type (the "why"), Uniformat/building component type or system (the "what"), and condition/RUL (the "when"). The facility type or importance is also factored into the overall portfolio if relevant information is provided and applicable. EMG utilizes the following prioritization scheme:

| Priority 1 | = | Immediate/Critical Items: Require immediate action to either (a) correct a safety hazard or (b) address the most important building performance or integrity issues or failures. |
|------------|---|--|
| Priority 2 | = | Potentially Critical Items: Include (a) those safety/liability, component performance or building integrity issues of slightly less importance not captured in Priority 1 and/or (b) issues that if left unchecked could escalate into Immediate/Critical items. Accessibility and 'stabilized' environmental issues are also typically included in this subset. |
| Priority 3 | = | Necessary/Recommended Items: Items of concern that generally either require attention or are suggested as improvements within the near term to: (a) improve usability, marketability, or efficiency; (b) reduce operational costs; (c) prevent or mitigate disruptions to normal operations; (d) modernize the facility; (e) adapt the facility to better meet occupant needs; and/or (f) should be addressed when the facility undergoes a significant renovation. |
| Priority 4 | = | Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements: Renewal items which are generally associated with building |

2.2. SCOPE

The standard scope of the Facility Condition Assessment includes the following:

Visit the Property to evaluate the general condition of the building and site improvements, review available construction documents in
order to familiarize ourselves with, and be able to comment on, the in-place construction systems, life safety, mechanical, electrical,
and plumbing systems, and the general built environment.

future attention within the timeframe under consideration.

- Identify those components that are exhibiting deferred maintenance issues and provide cost estimates for Immediate Costs and Replacement Reserves based on observed conditions, maintenance history and industry standard useful life estimates. This will include the review of documented capital improvements completed within the last five-year period and work currently contracted for, if applicable.
- Provide a full description of the Property with descriptions of in-place systems and commentary on observed conditions.



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

- Provide a general statement of the Subject property's compliance with the Americans with Disability Act (ADA). Compliance with Title 24 California Building Code, Chapter 11B and other California Building Code chapters referenced in Chapter 11B, was not surveyed. This report does not constitute a full accessibility survey, but identifies exposure to selected ADA accessibility issues and the need for further accessibility review.
- Perform a limited assessment of accessible areas of the building(s) for the presence of fungal growth, conditions conducive to fungal growth, and/or evidence of moisture. EMG will also interview Project personnel regarding the presence of any known or suspected fungus, elevated relative humidity, water intrusion, or mildew-like odors. Potentially affected areas will be photographed. Sampling will not be considered in routine assessments.
- List the current utility service providers.
- Review maintenance records and procedures with the in-place maintenance personnel.
- Observe a representative sample of the interior spaces/units, including vacant spaces/units, in order to gain a clear understanding of
 the property's overall condition. Other areas to be observed include the exterior of the property, the roofs, interior common areas,
 and the significant mechanical, electrical and elevator equipment rooms.
- Appropriate inquiries of municipal officials regarding the existence of pending unresolved building, zoning or fire code violations on file, and a determination of the current zoning category, flood plain zone, and seismic zone for the Property.
- Provide recommendations for additional studies, if required, with related budgetary information.
- Provide an Executive Summary at the beginning of this report.

2.3. PERSONNEL INTERVIEWED

The management and maintenance staff, building engineers, and some key contractors were interviewed for specific information relating to the physical property, available maintenance procedures, historical performance of key building systems and components, available drawings and other documentation. Representatives from the local municipality were also contacted for code compliance, zoning, and other related information. The following personnel from the facility and government agencies were interviewed in the process of conducting the FCA:

| Name And Title | Organization | Phone Number |
|--|--|--------------|
| Craig Sample Maintenance and Operations Supervisor | Ocean View School District | 714.847.7083 |
| Noah Valadez General Maintenance | Ocean View School District | 714.847.7083 |
| Mike Hoeker General Maintenance | Ocean View School District | 714.847.7083 |
| Audrey Hui Administration | California Division of State Architect (DSA) | 858.674.5400 |
| Christina Espinoza | City of Huntington Beach Fire Department | 714.536.5411 |

The FCA was performed with the assistance of Noah Valadez, General Maintenance, Ocean View School District, the onsite Point of Contact (POC), who was cooperative and provided information that appeared to be accurate based upon subsequent site observations. The onsite contact is completely knowledgeable about the subject property and answered most questions posed during the interview process. The POC's management involvement at the property has been for the past 25 years.



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

2.4. DOCUMENTATION REVIEWED

Prior to the FCA, relevant documentation was requested that could aid in the knowledge of the subject property's physical improvements, extent and type of use, and/or assist in identifying material discrepancies between reported information and observed conditions. The review of submitted documents does not include comment on the accuracy of such documents or their preparation, methodology, or protocol. The Documentation Request Form is provided in Appendix E.

Although Appendix E provides a summary of the documents requested or obtained, the following list provides more specific details about some of the documents that were reviewed or obtained during the site visit.

- Remodeling construction documents by BC&A Architecture, dated 2/2/2009
- Property appraisal prepared by Duff & Phelps, dated 3/21/2016
- Mechanical system assessment report prepared by LPA, dated 6/24/2013

2.5. PRE-SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

A Pre-Survey Questionnaire was filled out with the POC during the site visit. The questionnaire is included in Appendix E. Information obtained from the questionnaire has been used in preparation of this report.

2.6. WEATHER CONDITIONS

May 3, 2016: Clear, with temperatures in the 70s (°F) and light winds.



EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

3. ACCESSIBILITY AND PROPERTY RESEARCH

3.1. ADA ACCESSIBILITY

Generally, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) applies to State and local government entities. Title II Subtitle A protects qualified individuals with disabilities from discrimination on the basis of disability in services, programs, and activities provided by state and local government entities. Title II extends the prohibition on discrimination established by section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 794, to all activities of state and local governments, regardless of Federal financial assistance. All state and local government facilities must be maintained and operated in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG). In addition, in the state of California, compliance with the California Building Code (CBC) Chapter 11 Accessibility to Public Buildings, Public Accommodations, Commercial Buildings, and Publicly Funded Housing is required.

During the FCA, a limited visual observation for accessibility compliance was conducted. The scope of the visual observation was limited to those areas set forth in EMG's Abbreviated ADA Checklist, provided in Appendix D of this report. It is understood by the Client that the limited observations described herein does not comprise a full Accessibility Compliance Survey, and that such a survey is beyond the scope of EMG's undertaking for this report. The Abbreviated ADA Checklist targets key areas for compliance with 2010 ADA Standards for Accessible Design, and does not include California Building Code accessibility requirements. A full Accessibility Compliance Survey conducted by EMG would include both ADA and State of California accessibility requirements. For the FCA, only a representative sample of areas was observed and, other than those shown on the Abbreviated ADA Checklist, actual measurements were not taken to verify compliance.

The facility does not appear to be accessible with respect to with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Elements as defined by the ADAAG that are not accessible, as stated within the priorities of Title II, are as follows:

Paths of Travel

| | Sinks inside classrooms don't have enough knee and toe access space. | |
|---|---|-----|
| | Estimated Cost: 320LF @ \$126.50/LF =\$40,4 | -80 |
| ŀ | Lever action door hardware is not provided at all accessible locations. | |
| | Estimated Cost: 28 @ \$202.4 each =\$5,6 | 67 |

Restrooms

Wrap drain pipes below lavatory with insulation; protect against contact with hot, sharp, or abrasive surfaces.
 Estimated Cost: 2 @ \$75.80 each =\$152

A full Accessibility Compliance Survey may reveal additional aspects of the property that are not in compliance.

Cinks incide alcorrooms don't have anough known and too access anough

Corrections of these conditions should be addressed from a liability standpoint, but are not necessarily code violations. The Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines concern civil rights issues as they pertain to the disabled and are not a construction code, although many local jurisdictions have adopted the Guidelines as such. The costs to address the achievable items noted above are included in the Immediate Repairs Report.

3.2. MUNICIPAL INFORMATION, FLOOD ZONE AND SEISMIC ZONE

According to Audrey Hui of the California Division of State Architect (DSA), there are no outstanding building code violations on file. The DSA does not have an annual inspection program. They only inspect new construction, work that requires DSA approval, and citizen complaints.

According to Christina Espinoza of the Huntington Beach Fire Department, there are no outstanding fire code violations on file. The Fire Department inspects the property on an annual basis.

According to the Flood Insurance Rate Map, published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and dated December 3, 2009, the property is located in Zone A, defined as an area subject to 100-year flood. Base flood elevation undetermined.

According to the 1997 Uniform Building Code Seismic Zone Map of the United States, the property is located in Seismic Zone 4, defined as an area of high probability of damaging ground motion.

According to the Wind Zone Map, published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the property is located in Zone I and is not located in a Hurricane-Susceptible Region or Special Wind Region.



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

4. EXISTING BUILDING ASSESSMENT

4.1. SPACE TYPES

All 50,734 square feet of the buildings are owned by the Ocean View Unified School District, and occupied by Hope View Elementary School. The spaces are a combination of offices, classrooms, multipurpose rooms, and supporting restrooms, mechanical and other utility spaces.

4.2. INACCESSIBLE AREAS OR KEY SPACES NOT OBSERVED

The entire school was observed in order to gain a clear understanding of the property's overall condition. Other areas accessed included the site within the property boundaries, exterior of the property and the roof. All areas of the property were available for observation during the site visit.



5. SITE IMPROVEMENTS

5.1. UTILITIES

The following table identifies the utility suppliers and the condition and adequacy of the services.

| Site Utilities | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Utility | Supplier | Condition And Adequacy | | | | |
| Sanitary sewer | City of Huntington Beach | Good | | | | |
| Storm sewer | City of Huntington Beach | Good | | | | |
| Domestic water | City of Huntington Beach | Good | | | | |
| Electric service | Southern California Edison | Fair | | | | |
| Natural gas service | Southern California Gas Company | Good | | | | |

Actions/Comments:

• According to the POC, the utilities provided are adequate for the property. There are no unique, onsite utility systems such as emergency electrical generators, septic systems, water or waste water treatment plants, or propane gas tanks.

5.2. PARKING, PAVING, AND SIDEWALKS

| Item | Description |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Main Ingress and Egress | Flintstone Lane |
| Access from | West |
| Additional Entrances | N/A |
| Additional Access from | N/A |

| Paving And Flatwork | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|----------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Item | Material | Last Work Done | Condition | | | |
| Entrance Driveway Apron | Asphalt | >5 | Good | | | |
| Parking Lot | Asphalt | >5 | Good | | | |
| Drive Aisles | Asphalt | >5 | Good | | | |
| Service Aisles | None | | | | | |
| Sidewalks | Concrete | >25 | Good | | | |
| Curbs | Concrete | >25 | Good | | | |
| Site Stairs | None | | | | | |
| Pedestrian Ramps | None | | | | | |



| | Parking Count | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Open Lot | Carport | Private Garage | Subterranean Garage | Freestanding Parking Structure | | | |
| 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | | | | |
| Total Number of ADA Compliant Spaces | | | 5 | 5 | | | |
| Number of ADA Compliant Spaces for Vans | | | 1 | | | | |
| Total Parking Spaces | | | 11 | 2 | | | |
| Parking Ratio (Spaces/1000sf Building Area) | | | 2. | 2 | | | |
| Method | d of Obtaining Parkin | g Count | Physica | al count | | | |

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

- Asphalt seal coating
- Sidewalks

Actions/Comments:

- The concrete sidewalks have isolated areas of vertically-displaced concrete due to settlement. These areas occur along the east side of the property. The damaged areas of concrete sidewalks require replacement.
- Asphalt pavement requires seal coating during the evaluation period.

5.3. DRAINAGE SYSTEMS AND EROSION CONTROL

| Drainage System And Erosion Control | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| System | System Exists At Site | | | | | |
| Surface Flow | X | Good | | | | |
| Inlets | | | | | | |
| Swales | | | | | | |
| Detention pond | | | | | | |
| Lagoons | | | | | | |
| Ponds | | | | | | |
| Underground Piping | | | | | | |
| Pits | | | | | | |
| Municipal System | X | Good | | | | |
| Dry Well | | | | | | |

Actions/Comments:

• Ponding occurs to the east of portable buildings 908/909. The affected areas must be graded to direct storm water to the on-site storm drainage system away from the buildings.



EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

5.4. TOPOGRAPHY AND LANDSCAPING

| Item | Description | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|--|
| Site Topography | Slopes gently | y down from t | he east side | of the property | to the west pro | perty line | |
| Landscaping | Trees Grass Flower Beds Planters Drought Tolerant Plants Stone | | | | None | | |
| | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Landscaping Condition | | Fair | | | | | |
| Later (for | Automatic Underground Drip Hand Watering None | | | | lone | | |
| Irrigation | Х | | Х | | | | |
| Irrigation Condition | Good | | | | | | |

| Retaining Walls | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Type Location Condition | | | | | |
| Brick Southwest of main building Good | | | | | |

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

Landscaping materials

Actions/Comments:

• The property has significant areas of barren grass throughout. New landscape material should be installed at the affected areas when current water conservative mandates are lifted.

5.5. GENERAL SITE IMPROVEMENTS

| Property Signage | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Property Signage | Post mounted wood | | | |
| Street Address Displayed? | Yes | | | |

| Site And Building Lighting | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------|------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| | None | Pole Mounted | Bollard | d Lights | Ground | Mounted | Parking Lot Pole Type |
| Site Lighting | | | | | | | |
| | Overall | | | Cho | ose an ite | m. | |
| | | V | Vall Mounte | d | Re | ecessed Soffit | |
| Building Lighting | | | X | | | Х | |
| | Overall Bu | Overall Building Lighting Condition | | | Cho | ose an ite | m. |



| Site Fencing | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Туре | Condition | | | | |
| Chain link with metal posts All around the property Good | | | | | |

| Refuse Disposal | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----|------------|-----------|--|
| Refuse Disposal Common area dumpsters | | | | | | |
| Dumpster Locations | Mounting | Enclosure | | Contracted | Condition | |
| West of main building | Concrete pad | No | one | Yes | Good | |

| Other Site Amenities | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------|------|--|--|--|
| Description Location Condition | | | | | | |
| Playground Equipment | Metal | South courtyard | Good | | | |
| Basketball Court | Asphalt | East courtyard | Good | | | |
| Play Ground | Asphalt | South courtyard | Good | | | |

The basketball courts are surrounded by a chain link fence.

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

- Playground equipment
- Basketball courtyard and Playground surfaces

Actions/Comments:

• No significant actions are identified at the present time. On-going periodic maintenance is highly recommended. Future lifecycle replacements of the components listed above will be required.



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

6. BUILDING ARCHITECTURAL AND STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS

6.1. FOUNDATIONS

| Building Foundation | | | | | |
|--|------|--|--|--|--|
| Item Description Condition | | | | | |
| Foundation Concrete spread footings Good | | | | | |
| Basement and Crawl Space | None | | | | |

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

No components of significance

Actions/Comments:

 Isolated areas of the foundation systems are exposed, which allows for limited observation. There are no significant signs of settlement, deflection, or movement.

6.2. SUPERSTRUCTURE

| Building Superstructure | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| Item Description Condition | | |
| Framing / Load-Bearing Walls | Light-gauge steel | Good |
| Roof Framing | Open-web steel joists | Good |
| Roof Decking | Metal decking | Good |

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

No components of significance

Actions/Comments:

 The superstructure is concealed. Walls and floors appear to be plumb, level, and stable. There are no significant signs of deflection or movement.

6.3. ROOFING

| Primary Roof | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Type / Geometry | Flat or low-sloping | Finish | Built-up membrane |
| Maintenance | In-house staff | Roof Age | 1 year |
| Flashing | Sheet metal | Warranties | Yes |
| Parapet Copings | Sheet metal | Roof Drains | Gutters and downspouts |

| Primary Roof | | | |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Fascia | Wood | Insulation | Rigid board |
| Soffits | Concealed | Skylights | No |
| Attics | No | Ponding | No |
| Ventilation Source-1 | Power vents | Leaks Observed | No |
| Ventilation Source-2 | | Roof Condition | Good |

The primary roof type is located at the main building and several portable buildings.

| Secondary Roof | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Type / Geometry | Flat or low-sloping | Finish | Metal |
| Maintenance | In-house staff | Roof Age | 18 years |
| Flashing | Sheet metal | Warranties | No |
| Parapet Copings | NA; no parapet walls | Roof Drains | Scuppers and downspouts |
| Fascia | Metal | Insulation | Rigid board |
| Soffits | Concealed | Skylights | No |
| Attics | No | Ponding | No |
| Ventilation Source-1 | Gravity vents | Leaks Observed | No |
| Ventilation Source-2 | | Roof Condition | Good |

The secondary roof type is located at several portable buildings.

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

Built-up roof membrane

- The roof finishes vary in age. Information regarding roof warranties was requested but was not available. The roofs are maintained by the in-house maintenance staff.
- According to the POC, there are no active roof leaks. There is no evidence of active roof leaks.
- There is no evidence of roof deck or insulation deterioration. The roof substrate and insulation should be inspected during any future roof repair or replacement work.
- Roof drainage appears to be adequate. Clearing and minor repair of drain system components should be performed regularly as part of the property management's routine maintenance and operations program.
- There is no evidence of moisture, water intrusion, or excessive daylight in the attics. The insulation in the attics appears to be adequate.
- The built-up roofs for some portable buildings are original and have isolated areas of topping degradation. The roof membranes require replacement during the evaluation period.



EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

6.4. EXTERIOR WALLS

| Building Exterior Walls | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Туре | Location | Condition |
| Primary Finish | Brick veneer | Good |
| Secondary Finish | Wood siding | Fair |
| Accented with | Stucco moulding | Fair |
| Soffits | Concealed | Good |

Building sealants (caulking) are located between dissimilar materials, at joints, and around window and door openings.

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

Exterior paint

Actions/Comments:

• No significant actions are identified at the present time. On-going periodic maintenance, including patching repairs, graffiti removal, and re-caulking, is highly recommended. Future lifecycle replacements of the components listed above will be required.



EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

6.5. EXTERIOR AND INTERIOR STAIRS

Not applicable. There are no exterior or interior stairs.

6.6. EXTERIOR WINDOWS AND DOORS

| Building Windows | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Window Framing | Glazing | Location | Window Screen | Condition |
| Aluminum framed storefront | Single pane | Main building | | Poor |
| Aluminum framed, operable | Double pane | Portable buildings | Х | Fair |
| Aluminum framed, fixed | Single pane | Main building | | Fair |

| Building Doors | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Main Entrance Doors | Door Type | Condition |
| Wall Elitance Bools | Fully glazed, metal framed | Poor |
| Secondary Entrance Doors | Fully glazed, metal framed | Poor |
| Service Doors | Metal, insulated Good | |
| Portable Building Entrance Doors | Steel | Good |

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

- Aluminum windows at main building
- Storefront windows with doors at main building

Actions/Comments:

 The storefront windows with doors and fixed aluminum punched windows at main building are antiquated, energy-inefficient units with single-pane glazing. Replacement is recommended.

6.7. PATIO, TERRACE, AND BALCONY

Not applicable. There are no patios, terraces, or balconies.



7. BUILDING MECHANICAL AND PLUMBING SYSTEMS

7.1. BUILDING HEATING, VENTILATING, AND AIR CONDITIONING (HVAC)

| Individual Units On Portable Buildings | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Primary Components | Package units | |
| Cooling (if separate from above) | performed via components above | |
| Quantity and Capacity Ranges | 12 units, 3.5 tons | |
| Heating Fuel | Electric | |
| Location of Equipment | Building walls and roof top | |
| Space Served by System | Portable buildings | |
| Age Ranges | Most are from 1997. One is from 2006. | |
| Primary Component Condition | Poor | |

| Building Central Heating System For Main Building A | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Primary Heating System Type | Hot water boilers | |
| Quantity and Capacity of Major Components | One boiler at 650 MBH | |
| Total Heating Capacity | 650 MBH | |
| Heating Fuel | Natural gas | |
| Location of Major Equipment | Building exterior | |
| Space Served by System | Entire building | |
| Age Ranges | 2015 | |
| Boiler Condition | Good | |

| Building Central Cooling System For Main Building A | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| Primary Cooling System Type | Air-cooled chillers | |
| Quantity and Capacity of Major Components | One chiller at 100 tons | |
| Total Cooling Capacity | 100 tons | |
| Refrigerant | R410A | |
| Cooling Towers | None | |
| Location of Major Equipment | Building exterior | |
| Space Served by System | Entire building | |
| Age Ranges | 2015 | |
| Chiller Condition | Good | |
| Cooling Tower Condition | | |



| Distribution System | | |
|--|---|--|
| HVAC Water Distribution System | Four-pipe | |
| Heating Water Circulation Pump Size and Quantity | One pump at 3 HP each | |
| Chilled Water Circulation Pump Size and Quantity | One pump at 3 HP each | |
| Condenser Water Circulation Pump Size and Quantity | NA | |
| Pump Condition | Good | |
| Air Distribution System | Constant | |
| Quantity and Capacity of Air Handlers | NA | |
| Location of Air Handlers | | |
| Large Spaces the Larger Dedicated AHU's Serve | NA | |
| Age of Air Handlers | NA | |
| Air Handler Condition | | |
| Terminal Units | Fan coil units (hydronic) | |
| Quantity and Capacity of Terminal Units | approximately 26 fan coil units ranging from 1,000 to 1,200 CFM | |
| Location of Terminal Units | Along ceilings | |
| Spaces Served by Terminal Units | Offices and classrooms | |
| Terminal Unit Condition | Good | |

| Controls And Ventilation For Portable Buildings | | |
|---|--|--|
| HVAC Control System | Individual programmable thermostats/controls | |
| HVAC Control System Condition | Good | |
| Building Ventilation | Rooftop exhaust fans | |
| Ventilation System Condition | Fair | |

| Controls And Ventilation For Main Building A | | |
|--|----------------------|--|
| HVAC Control System BAS, direct digital controls (DDC) | | |
| HVAC Control System Condition | Good | |
| Building Ventilation | Rooftop exhaust fans | |
| Ventilation System Condition | Fair | |

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

- Fan coil units
- Package wall and rooftop heat pump units
- Water pumps

- The HVAC systems are maintained by the in-house maintenance staff. Records of the installation, maintenance, upgrades, and replacement of the HVAC equipment at the property have been maintained since the property was first occupied.
- The HVAC equipment varies in age. HVAC equipment is replaced on an "as needed" basis.



- The HVAC equipment appears to be functioning adequately overall. The maintenance staff was interviewed about the historical and recent performance of the equipment and systems. No severe chronic problems were reported and an overall sense of satisfaction with the systems was conveyed. However, due to the inevitable failure of parts and components over time, some of the equipment will require replacement. A budgetary cost for this work is included.
- Some of the portable building wall mounted heat pump units were installed in 1998. They are in fair condition, and replacement is expected within the evaluation period.
- Some of the portable building roof mounted heat pump units were installed in 1998. They are in fair condition, and replacement is expected within the evaluation period.
- The heat pump over Teacher's Prep Room in main building was installed in 1997, and was not functional for a long time. Immediate replacement is required.

7.2. BUILDING PLUMBING AND DOMESTIC HOT WATER

| Building Plumbing System | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|------|--|--|
| Туре | Description Condition | | | |
| Water Supply Piping | Copper | Good | | |
| Waste/Sewer Piping | PVC | Good | | |
| Vent Piping | PVC | Good | | |
| Water Meter Location | Outside of building in underground vault | | | |

| Domestic Water Heaters Or Boilers | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Components | Water Heaters | | | |
| Fuel | Electric | | | |
| Quantity and Input Capacity | 3 units at 25 kw each | | | |
| Storage Capacity | 20 gallons | | | |
| Boiler or Water Heater Condition | Good | | | |
| Supplementary Storage Tanks? | No | | | |
| Storage Tank Quantity and Volume | N/A | | | |
| Quantity of Storage Tanks | 0 | | | |
| Storage Tank Condition | | | | |
| Domestic Hot Water Circulation Pumps (3 HP and over) | None | | | |
| Adequacy of Hot Water | Adequate | | | |
| Adequacy of Water Pressure | Adequate | | | |

| Plumbing Fixtures | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--|
| Water Closets Commercial | | |
| Toilet (Water Closet) Flush Rating | 1.6 GPF | |
| Common Area Faucet Nominal Flow Rate | 1.2 GPM | |
| Condition | Good | |



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

Actions/Comments:

• The plumbing infrastructure is original to the 1968 construction of the property. Although there have been no reported chronic problems to date, the plumbing systems may begin to leak and fail due to the age of the piping. A cost allowance for full replacement of the plumbing infrastructure is included.

7.3. BUILDING GAS DISTRIBUTION

Gas service is supplied from the gas main on the adjacent public street. The gas meters and regulators are located along the exterior walls of the buildings. The gas distribution piping within each building is malleable steel (black iron).

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

No components of significance

Actions/Comments:

- The pressure and quantity of gas appear to be adequate.
- The gas meters and regulators appear to be functioning adequately and will require routine maintenance.
- Only limited observation of the gas distribution piping can be made due to hidden conditions.

7.4. BUILDING ELECTRICAL

| Building Electrical Systems | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Electrical Lines | Underground | Pad-mounted | | |
| Main Service Size | 1,000 Amps Volts | | 277/480 Volt, three-phase | |
| Meter and Panel Location | Electric room Branch Wiring | | Copper | |
| Conduit | Metallic | Step-Down Transformers? | Yes | |
| Security / Surveillance System? | No | Building Intercom System? | Yes | |
| Lighting Fixtures | T-8 | | | |
| Main Distribution Condition | Fair | | | |
| Secondary Panel and Transformer Condition | Fair | | | |
| Lighting Condition | Good | | | |

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

- Circuit breaker panels
- Main switchgear
- Switchboards
- Step-down transformers
- Interior light fixtures
- Distribution wiring

- The onsite electrical systems up to the meters are owned and maintained by the respective utility company.
- The electrical service and capacity appear to be adequate for the property's demands.



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

The vast majority of electrical components within the main building, including the circuit breaker panels, switchboards, step-down transformers, and wiring, are original to the 1967 construction. A full modernization/upgrade is recommended to the aging interior electrical infrastructure.

7.5. BUILDING ELEVATORS AND CONVEYING SYSTEMS

Not applicable. There are no elevators or conveying systems.

7.6. FIRE PROTECTION AND SECURITY SYSTEMS

| Item | Description | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Туре | | | 1 | Wet pipe | | | |
| | Central Alarm Panel | Χ | Battery-Operated Smoke Detectors | | Х | Alarm Horns | Х |
| Fire Alarm System | Annunciator Panels | | | red Smoke ectors | Χ | Strobe Light Alarms | Х |
| | Pull Stations | | | Battery-Pack htting | Χ | Illuminated EXIT Signs | Х |
| Alarm System Condition | Good | | | | | | |
| Sprinkler | None | | Standpipes | | | Backflow Preventer | |
| System | Hose Cabinets | | Fire Pumps | | | Siamese Connections | |
| Suppression Condition | Good | | | | | | |
| Central Alarm | Location of Alarm Panel | | | | Installation Date of Alarm Panel | | |
| Panel System | Electric Room | | | | January, 2015 | | |
| Fire | Last Service Date | | | | | Servicing Current | |
| Extinguishers | July, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Hydrant Location | Along Flintstone Lane | | | | | | |
| Siamese Location | None | | | | | | |
| Special Systems | Kitchen Suppression System | | | Comp | uter Ro | oom Suppression System | |

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

Central alarm panel

- The central alarm panel appears to be in good condition and is serviced regularly by a qualified fire equipment contractor. Equipment testing is not within the scope of a Facility Condition Assessment. Based on inspection documents displayed by the panel, the central alarm panel has been inspected within the last year. Fire alarm panels contain sophisticated electronic circuits that are constantly energized. Over time, circuit components deteriorate or become obsolete. Even though an alarm panel may continue to function well past its estimated design life, replacement parts may become difficult to obtain and in many cases the alarm panel will not communicate with new devices it is supposed to monitor. Replacement is recommended during the reserve time.
- There are some fire sprinkler heads in some of the buildings. Although the school conforms to the codes in effect when it was built or modernized, current codes call for the installation of fire sprinklers throughout and the installation of such systems as well as seismic upgrades may be required when future major renovations or replacements take place.



8. INTERIOR SPACES

8.1. INTERIOR FINISHES

The following table generally describes the locations and typical conditions of the interior finishes within the facility:

| Typical Floor Finishes | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Floor Finish | Locations | General Condition | | |
| Carpet | Offices, classrooms | Good | | |
| Sheet vinyl | Corridors | Good | | |
| Ceramic tile | Restrooms | Good | | |
| Typical Wall Finishes | | | | |
| Wall Finish | Locations General Co | | | |
| Painted drywall | Corridors, offices, classrooms, restrooms | Good | | |
| Ceramic tile | Restrooms | Good | | |
| Typical Ceiling Finishes | | | | |
| Ceiling Finish | Locations | General Condition | | |
| Painted drywall | Lobby, corridors | Good | | |
| Suspended T-Bar (acoustic tile) | Offices, classrooms, restrooms | Good | | |

| Interior Doors | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|------|--|--|
| Item Type Condition | | | | |
| Interior Doors | Solid core wood | Good | | |
| Door Framing | Metal | Good | | |
| Fire Doors | Yes | Good | | |

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

- Carpet
- Sheet vinyl
- Interior paint

- The interior areas were last renovated in 2015.
- No significant actions are identified at the present time. On-going periodic maintenance is highly recommended. Future lifecycle replacements of the components listed above will be required.
- Suspended ceiling tiles are in fair condition. Tile replacement is recommended to be performed by routine maintenance.



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

8.2. FURNITURE, FIXTURES AND EQUIPMENT (FF&E)

The school's furniture, fixtures and equipment (FF&E) consist of casework, marker and tack boards, screens and projectors, shelving, desks, tables and chairs, computers, task lights and bleachers. Other than casework, assessment of FF&E is not included in the scope of work.

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

Casework

Actions/Comments:

 Caseworks in classrooms and offices in main building are original. Sink in the casework doesn't have knee and toe space underneath according to ADA requirements. Immediate replacement is recommended.

8.3. COMMERCIAL KITCHEN AND LAUNDRY EQUIPMENT

The kitchen includes the following major appliances, fixtures, and equipment:

| Commercial Kitchen | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|------|--|
| Appliance Comment And Condition | | | |
| Refrigerators | Up-right | Fair | |
| Freezers | Walk-in | Fair | |
| Warmer | Electric | Fair | |

Anticipated Lifecycle Replacements:

- Walk-in freezer
- · Reach-in refrigerator
- Food warmer

Actions/Comments:

 No significant actions are identified at the present time. On-going periodic maintenance is highly recommended. Future lifecycle replacements of the components listed above will be required.



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

9. OTHER STRUCTURES

All structures on campus, including all portable buildings and lunch area shelter, have been included in main body of this report. Construction, finishes and costs for all portable and shelter buildings are in various sections of this report. There are no other major accessory structures.



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

CERTIFICATION

DLR Group retained EMG to perform this Facility Condition Assessment in connection with its Facilities Master Planning Project for the Ocean View School District at Hope View Elementary School, 17622 Flinstone Lane, Huntington Beach, California, the "Property". It is our understanding that the primary interest of DLR Group is to locate and evaluate materials and building system defects that might significantly affect the value of the property and to determine if the present Property has conditions that will have a significant impact on its continued operations.

The conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are based on the brief review of the plans and records made available to our Project Manager during the site visit, interviews of available property management personnel and maintenance contractors familiar with the Property, appropriate inquiry of municipal authorities, our Project Manager's walk-through observations during the site visit, and our experience with similar properties.

No testing, exploratory probing, dismantling or operating of equipment or in depth studies were performed unless specifically required under Section 2 of this report. This assessment did not include engineering calculations to determine the adequacy of the Property's original design or existing systems. Although walk-through observations were performed, not all areas were observed (See Section 4.2 for areas observed). There may be defects in the Property, which were in areas not observed or readily accessible, may not have been visible, or were not disclosed by management personnel when questioned. The report describes property conditions at the time that the observations and research were conducted.

This report has been prepared on behalf of and exclusively for the use of DLR Group for the purpose stated within Section 2 of this report. The report, or any excerpt thereof, shall not be used by any party other than DLR Group or for any other purpose than that specifically stated in our agreement or within Section 2 of this report without the express written consent of EMG.

Any reuse or distribution of this report without such consent shall be at DLR Group and the recipient's sole risk, without liability to EMG.

Prepared by:

Henry Guo

Project Manager

Daniel White

Reviewed by:

Daniel White

Report Reviewer

for

Mark Surdam, RA

Program Manager

msurdam@emgcorp.com 800.733.0660 x6251



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA, 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

10. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD

APPENDIX B: SITE AND FLOOR PLANS

APPENDIX C: SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

APPENDIX D: EMG ABREVIATED ADA CHECKLIST

APPENDIX E: PRE-SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA, 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

APPENDIX A: PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD

HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017



#1:

PHOTO FRONT ELEVATION



PHOTO #3:

SOUTH ELEVATION



#5:

PHOTO NORTH ELEVATION



PHOTO #2:

WEST ELEVATION



#4:

PHOTO EAST ELEVATION



PHOTO #6:

NORTHWEST ELEVATION



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD

HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017



РНОТО

PORTABLE BUILDING ELEVATION



РНОТО #8:

PORTABLE BUILDING ELEVATION



#9:

PHOTO PORTABLE BUILDING ELEVATION



PHOTO #10:

PORTABLE BUILDING ELEVATION



РНОТО

PORTABLE BUILDING ELEVATION



РНОТО #12:

COVERED EATING AREA



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647



#13:

PHOTO PARKING LOT



#15:

PHOTO HANDICAP STALLS



PHOTO ASPHALT PLAY GROUND



PHOTO #14:

MAIN DRIVEWAY



#16:

PHOTO SIGN AND FLAG POLE



PHOTO #18:

BASKETBALL COURTS



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017



#19:

PHOTO PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT



#21:

PHOTO BACKFLOW PREVENTER



PHOTO #23:

GAS METER



РНОТО #20:

GRASS FIELD



#22:

PHOTO FIRE HYDRANT



PHOTO #24:

DUMPSTERS



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647



PHOTO #25:

CHAIN LINK FENCE



#27:

PHOTO MAIN BUILDING BUILT-UP ROOF



РНОТО #29:

PORTABLE BUILDING BUILT-UP ROOF



PHOTO #26:

BRICK RETAINING WALL



PHOTO #28:

PORTABLE BUILDING BUILT-UP ROOF



РНОТО #30:

PORTABLE BUILDING SHEET METAL ROOF

HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647



РНОТО #31:

PORTABLE BUILDING SHEET METAL ROOF



#33:

PHOTO SECONDARY ENTRANCE



#35:

PHOTO ALUMINUM WINDOW



PHOTO #32:

MAIN ENTRANCE



PHOTO #34:

SERVICE DOOR



РНОТО #36:

DUCTLESS SPLIT SYSTEM INTERIOR FAN

HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647



РНОТО #37:

DUCTLESS SPLIT SYSTEM CONDENSING UNIT



#39:

PHOTO WALL MOUNTED HEAT PUMP



#41:

PHOTO AIR COOLED CHILLER



PHOTO #38:

ROOFTOP HEAT PUMP



#40:

PHOTO HOT WATER BOILER



PHOTO #42:

CIRCULATION PUMP



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647



РНОТО #43:

CENTRAL ALARM PANEL



#45:

PHOTO FIRE EXTINGUISHER CABINET



PHOTO #47:

ELECTRIC MAIN SWITCHGEAR



PHOTO #44:

SPRINKLER HEAD



#46:

PHOTO DOMESTIC WATER HEATER



PHOTO #48:

PAD MOUNTED TRANSFORMER



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647



PHOTO #49:

ROOFTOP POWERED EXHAUST FAN



#51:

PHOTO FOOD WARMER



#53:

PHOTO ACCESSIBLE TOILET



#50:

PHOTO REFRIGERATOR



#52:

PHOTO WALK-IN FREEZER



PHOTO #54:

RESTROOM



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647




PHOTO #55:

MULTIPURPOSE ROOM



#57:

PHOTO CORRIDOR



PHOTO #59:

SUSPENDED CEILING WITH STROBE LIGHT



РНОТО #56:

CLASSROOM




PHOTO #58:

INTERIOR DOOR



РНОТО #60:

CLASSROOM CASEWORK WITH SINK



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA, 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017


APPENDIX B: SITE AND FLOOR PLANS



SITE PLAN

HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017



SOURCE:

Client Supplied Material



ON-SITE DATE:

May 3, 2016

AERIAL SITE PLAN

HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017



SOURCE:

Google Maps: Imagery ©2016 Google, Map data ©2016 Google




ON-SITE DATE: May 3, 2016



FLOOR PLAN

HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017



SOURCE:

Client Supplied Material





HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA, 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017


APPENDIX C: SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION



FLOOD MAP

HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017



SOURCE:

FEMA Panel No.: 06059C0234J Dated: December 3, 2009

ON-SITE DATE: May 3, 2016



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA, 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

APPENDIX D: EMG ABREVIATED ADA CHECKLIST



EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

PROPERTY NAME: Hope View Elementary School

DATE: May 3, 2016

PROJECT NUMBER: <u>119317.16R000.005.017</u>

| | EMG ABREVIATED ADA CHECKLIST | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|----------|----|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | BUILDING HISTORY | YES | NO | N/A | COMMENTS | | | | |
| 1. | Has the management previously completed an ADA review? | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Have any ADA improvements been made to the property? | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Does a Barrier Removal Plan exist for the property? | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Has the Barrier Removal Plan been reviewed/approved by an arms-length third party such as an engineering firm, architectural firm, building department, other agencies, etc.? | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Has building ownership or management received any ADA related complaints that have not been resolved? | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| 6. | Is any litigation pending related to ADA issues? | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| | PARKING | YES | NO | N/A | COMMENTS | | | | |
| 1. | Are there sufficient parking spaces with respect to the total number of reported spaces? | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Are there sufficient van-accessible parking spaces available (96" wide/ 96" aisle for van)? | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Are accessible spaces marked with the International | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Is there at least one accessible route provided within | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Do curbs on the accessible route have depressed, ramped curb cuts at drives, paths, and drop-offs? | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Does signage exist directing you to accessible parking and an accessible building entrance? | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| | RAMPS | YES | NO | N/A | COMMENTS | | | | |
| 1. | If there is a ramp from parking to an accessible building entrance, does it meet slope requirements? (1:12) | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| 2. | Are ramps longer than 6 ft complete with railings on both sides? | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| 3. | Is the width between railings at least 36 inches? | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| 4. | Is there a level landing for every 30 ft horizontal length | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| | ENTRANCES/EXITS | YES | NO | N/A | COMMENTS | | | | |
| 1. | Is the main accessible entrance doorway at least 32 inches wide? | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| 2. | If the main entrance is inaccessible, are there alternate accessible entrances? | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| 3. | Can the alternate accessible entrance be used independently? | ✓ | | | | | | | |



| | ENTRANCES/EXITS | YES | NO | N/A | COMMENTS |
|----|---|----------|----|----------|----------|
| 4. | Is the door hardware easy to operate (lever/push type hardware, no twisting required, and not higher than 48 inches above the floor)? | √ | | | |
| 5. | Are main entry doors other than revolving door available? | ✓ | | | |
| 6. | If there are two main doors in series, is the minimum space between the doors 48 inches plus the width of any door swinging into the space? | | | ~ | |
| | PATHS OF TRAVEL | | NO | N/A | COMMENTS |
| 1. | Is the main path of travel free of obstruction and wide enough for a wheelchair (at least 36 inches wide)? | ✓ | | | |
| 2. | Does a visual scan of the main path reveal any obstacles (phones, fountains, etc.) that protrude more than 4 inches into walkways or corridors? | | ✓ | | |
| 3. | Are floor surfaces firm, stable, and slip resistant (carpets wheelchair friendly)? | ✓ | | | |
| 4. | Is at least one wheelchair-accessible public telephone available? | | | ✓ | |
| 5. | Are wheelchair-accessible facilities (toilet rooms, exits, etc.) identified with signage? | | | ~ | |
| 6. | Is there a path of travel that does not require the use of stairs? | | | | |
| 7. | If audible fire alarms are present, are visual alarms (strobe light alarms) also installed in all common areas? | | | | |
| | ELEVATORS | YES | NO | N/A | COMMENTS |
| 1. | Do the call buttons have visual signals to indicate when a call is registered and answered? | | | ✓ | |
| 2. | Are there visual and audible signals inside cars indicating floor change? | | | ✓ | |
| 3. | Are there standard raised and Braille marking on both jambs of each host way entrance? | | | ✓ | |
| 4. | Do elevator doors have a reopening device that will stop and reopen a car door if an object or a person obstructs the door? | | | ✓ | |
| 5. | Do elevator lobbies have visual and audible indicators of car arrival? | | | ✓ | |
| 6. | Does the elevator interior provide sufficient wheelchair turning area (51" x 68")? | | | ✓ | |
| 7. | Are elevator controls low enough to be reached from a wheelchair (48 inches front approach/54 inches side approach)? | | | √ | |
| 8. | Are elevator control buttons designated by Braille and by raised standard alphabet characters (mounted to the left of the button)? | | | √ | |
| 9. | If a two-way emergency communication system is provided within the elevator cab, is it usable without voice communication? | | | ✓ | |
| | RESTROOMS | YES | NO | N/A | COMMENTS |
| 1. | Are common area public restrooms located on an accessible route? | ✓ | | | |

HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

| | RESTROOMS | | NO | N/A | COMMENTS |
|-----|--|----------|----|-----|---|
| 2. | Are pull handles push/pull or lever type? | ✓ | | | |
| 3. | Are there audible and visual fire alarm devices in the toilet rooms? | | | | |
| 4. | Are corridor access doors wheelchair-accessible (at least 32 inches wide)? | ✓ | | | |
| 5. | Are public restrooms large enough to accommodate a wheelchair turnaround (60" turning diameter)? | ✓ | | | |
| 6. | In unisex toilet rooms, are there safety alarms with pull cords? | | ✓ | | |
| 7. | 7. Are stall doors wheelchair accessible (at least 32" wide)? | | | | |
| 8. | 8. Are grab bars provided in toilet stalls? | | | | |
| 9. | Are sinks provided with clearance for a wheelchair to roll under (29" clearance)? | ✓ | | | |
| 10. | Are sink handles operable with one hand without grasping, pinching or twisting? | ✓ | | | |
| 11. | Are exposed pipes under sink sufficiently insulated against contact? | ✓ | ✓ | | There are several that are not insulated. |
| 12. | Are soap dispensers, towel, etc. reachable (48" from floor for frontal approach, 54" for side approach)? | ✓ | | | |
| 13. | Is the base of the mirror no more than 40" from the floor? | ✓ | | | |



HOPE VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 17622 FLINSTONE LANE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647

EMG PROJECT NO: 119317.16R000-005.017

APPENDIX E: PRE-SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE



FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT: PRE-SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire must be completed by the property owner, the owner's designated representative, or someone knowledgeable about the subject property. The completed form must be presented to EMG's Field Observer on the day of the site visit. If the form is not completed, EMG's Project Manager will require additional time during the on-site visit with such a knowledgeable person in order to complete the questionnaire. During the site visit, EMG's Field Observer may ask for details associated with selected questions. This questionnaire will be utilized as an exhibit in EMG's final Property Condition Report.

| Name of person completing form: | NOAH VALADEZ |
|--|-------------------------|
| Title / Association with property: | MAINTENANCE |
| Length of time associated w/ property: | 25 YEARS |
| Date Completed: | 5/3/16 |
| Phone Number: | 714-847-7083 |
| Building / Facility Name: | HOPE VIEW ELEM, SCHOOL. |

Directions: Please answer all questions to the best of your knowledge and in good faith. Please provide additional details in the Comments column, or backup documentation for any **Yes** responses.

| | DATA OVERVIEW | RESPONSE |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | Year constructed | 1967 |
| 2 | Building size in SF | 50734 |
| 3 | Replacement Value | 9,781,000 |
| 4 | Acreage | 14.38 |
| 5 | Number of parking spaces | 112 |
| 6 | Age of roof (known or estimated); active warranty w/ expiration date? | NEW 1200F ON MOIN BLDG OFLIGHTHOUT 1200FG ON POTETOBLES |
| | QUESTION | RESPONSE |
| 7 | List all major renovations or rehabilitations since construction (with estimated dates). | MAIN BLOG MAJOR REMOVATION IN ZOIS |
| 8 | List other somewhat lesser but still significant capital improvements, focused within recent years (provide approximate year completed). | NA |
| 9 | List any major capital expenditures planned/requested for the next few years. Have they been budgeted? | MAYBE ON PORTABUES |
| 10 | Describe any extremely problematic, historically chronic, or immediate facility needs. | HEAT PUMP OVER MAIN BLOG BROKEN |
| 11 | Describe any shared building or site elements or unique arrangements with neighboring properties, entities, or tenants. | NONE |

Mark the column corresponding to the appropriate response. Please provide additional details in the Comments column, or backup documentation for any Yes responses. (NA indicates "Not Applicable", Unk indicates "Unknown") QUESTION RESPONSE COMMENTS Yes Are there any unusable or "down" 12 areas, units, or spaces within the facility? Is the facility served by a private 13 water well, septic system or other special waste treatment system? Are there any problems with the 14 utilities, such as inadequate pressure or capacities? Have there been any leaks or 15 pressure problems with natural gas service? Are there any problems with erosion or areas with storm water drainage issues? Are there any problems with the 17 landscape irrigation systems? Are there any problems or 18 inadequacies with exterior lighting? Are there any problems with 19 foundations or structures, like excessive settlement? Are there any known issues with 20 termites or other wood-boring pests? Are there any wall, window, 21 basement or roof leaks? Are there any plumbing leaks or 22 water pressure problems? Are any areas of the facility 23 inadequately heated, cooled or ventilated? Are there any poorly insulated 24 areas? Do any of the HVAC systems use 25 older R-11, 12, or 22 refrigerants? Has any part of the facility ever 26 contained visible suspect mold growth?

Have there been indoor air quality

or mold related complaints from

building occupants?

27

| N | Mark the column corresponding to the a backup documentation for any | appropria Yes res | ite respo oonses. | onse. Pl (NA ind | ease pr licates " | ovide additional details in the Comments column, or Not Applicable", Unk indicates "Unknown") | | | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| QUESTION | | | RESP | ONSE | | COMMENTS | | | | |
| | | Yes | No | Unk | NA | | | | | |
| 28 | Are there any known unresolved building, fire, or zoning code issues with the governing municipality? | | V | | | | | | | |
| 29 | Is there any pending litigation concerning the property? | | V | | | | | | | |
| 30 | Are there outstanding accessibility issues at the facility? (Go over and fill out first 'History' subsection of separate ADA checklist.) | | V | | | | | | | |
| 31 | Are there any EMG 'red flag' issues at the facility? (Go over and fill out attached checklist below.) | | V | | | | | | | |
| 32 | Are there any other unresolved construction defects or significant issues/hazards at the property that have not yet been identified? | | V | × | | • | | | | |

Signature of person interviewed or completing form

Date

RED FLAG CHECKLIST & MATRIX

Mark the single column corresponding to the most appropriate situation. (PSQ only indicates POC acknowledged presence during interview but item was not observed on-site; OBS only indicates the item was observed but not identified as known to be present during interview process; PSQ & OBS indicates item was both verbally identified and physically observed; NOT EVID indicates the item was neither observed during limited visual assessment nor identified as present during discussions).

| RED FLAG ISSUE | | | OBSE | RVED? | | GUIDANCE | | | |
|----------------|---|-------------|----------|-----------|-------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | PSQ only | OBS only | PSQ & OBS | NOT EVID | most prevalent time of potential use | | | |
| 1 | Fire Retardant Plywood (FRT) | х | х | х | (x) | 1955 to 1998; as roof sheathing; view attics; sometimes stamped; moisture absorbance leads to premature failure | | | |
| 2 | Engineered / Hardboard Wood Siding | | · | \vee | - | any time; Masonite, T-111; water damage and premature failure | | | |
| 3 | Exterior Insulation and Finish System (EIFS) | | ė | | \vee | any time; water penetration and premature failure (looks like stucco but feels "lighter") | | | |
| 4 | Galvanized Water Piping | | | h h | V | prior to early 1980's; common in1970's; pinhole leaks and interior mineral build-up | | | |
| 5 | Polybutylene Water Piping | | | * | W | 1977-1995; mostly relevant to housing; grey plastic commonly leaks at joint fittings | | | |
| 6 | ABS Piping Recall | | | | V | 1984-1990; faulty resin by 5 manufactures very difficult to discover & visually observe | | | |
| 7 | Cadet/Encore Wall Heater Recall | | * | ÷ | V | 1982-1999; mostly relevant to housing; collect & cross-check model numbers; potential fire hazards | | | |
| 8 | PTAC Recall (Goodman/Amana) | | | A. | V | 1996-2003; mostly relevant to housing; faulty thermal override switch; collect & cross-check model numbers | | | |
| 9 | Aluminum Wiring (Interior) | 62 | - 9 | | . V | 1964-1975; more concerns with interior and smaller gauge | | | |
| 10 | Federal Pacific Stab-Lok Electrical Panels | | | | V | prior to 1986; potential fire hazards | | | |
| 11 | Fused Electrical Panels | | | | V | prior to early 1960's; easily tampered with, as such potential fire hazard | | | |
| 12 | Low Unit Amperage | | | | V | any time; relevant to housing | | | |
| 13 | Fire Sprinkler Head Recalls | | | | V | 1960-2001; more heavily 1990's; Central, Gem, Star, Globe, Omega can be suspect collect & cross-check model numbers | | | |
| 14 | Dishwasher Recalls | | | | V , | 1983-1989: GE, Hotpoint 1997-2001: GE, Hotpoint, Maytag, Jenn- Air, Kenmore, Eterna collect & cross-check model numbers; potential fire hazards | | | |

REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTATION

On the day of the site visit, provide EMG's Field Observer the documents listed below. Signify which documents will be copied, available for review at the site, not available, or not applicable by placing a check mark in the appropriate columns. Also provide this completed checklist.

| | | Copies Provided | Reviewed at Site | Not Available | Not Applicable |
|----|--|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Maintenance Contractor List. Provide the company name, phone number, and contact person of all maintenance contractors who serve the property, such as mechanical contractors, roof contractors, fire sprinkler and fire alarm testing contractors, and elevator contractors. | x | x | $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ | × |
| 2 | Construction Documents (Blueprints). Provide all available construction documents for the original construction of the building or for any tenant improvement work or other recent construction work. | 2 | V | | |
| 3 | Site plan. Provide a site plan, preferably 8 1/2" X 11", which depicts the arrangement of buildings, roads, parking stalls, and other site features. | | \vee | | |
| 4 | Certificates of Occupancy and original Building Permits. | | | V | |
| 5 | Tenant List. For commercial properties, provide a tenant list, which identifies the names of each tenant, vacant tenant units, the floor area of each tenant space, and the gross and net leasable area of the building(s). | | | • | V |
| 6 | Apartment Unit Summary. For apartment properties, provide a summary of the apartment unit types and quantities, including the floor area of each apartment unit as measured in square feet. | | | | V |
| 7 | Hotel & Nursing Home Room Summary. For hotel or nursing home properties, provide a summary of the room types and room type quantities, including the floor area of each room type. | | | | V |
| 8 | Occupancy Percentage. Provide the current occupancy percentage and typical turnover rate records (for commercial and apartment properties). | | | | V |
| 9 | Inspection Documents and Certificates. Fire, building, and health department inspection reports and elevator inspection certificates. | | | V | |
| 10 | Warranties. Roof and HVAC warranties, or any other similar relevant documents. | | | V | |
| 11 | Utility Companies. The names of the local utility companies which serve the property, including the water, sewer, electric, gas, and phone companies. | | \vee | | |
| 12 | Capital Improvement Summary. A summary of recent (over the last 5 years) capital improvement work which describes the scope of the work and the cost of the improvements. | | V | | |
| 13 | Proposed Improvements. Pending contracts or proposals for future improvements. | | | V | |
| 14 | Historical Costs. Costs for repairs, improvements, and replacements. | | | V | |
| 15 | Records. Records of system & material ages (roof, MEP, paving, finishes, furnishings). | | | V | |
| 16 | Brochures or Marketing Information. | | | V | |
| 17 | Appraisal, either current or previously prepared. | | V | , | |
| 18 | Previous reports pertaining to the physical condition of property. | | | V | |
| 19 | ADA survey and status of improvements implemented. | | V | / | |
| 20 | Litigation. Current / pending litigation related to property condition. | | | V | |

On the day of the site visit, provide EMG's Field Observer access to all of the available documents listed below. Provide copies if possible.

INFORMATION REQUIRED

- 1. All available construction documents (blueprints) for the original construction of the building or for any tenant improvement work or other recent construction work.
- 2. A site plan, preferably 8 1/2" X 11", which depicts the arrangement of buildings, roads, parking stalls, and other site features.
- 3. For commercial properties, provide a tenant list which identifies the names of each tenant, vacant tenant units, the floor area of each tenant space, and the gross and net leasable area of the building(s).
- 4. For apartment properties, provide a summary of the apartment unit types and apartment unit type quantities, including the floor area of each apartment unit as measured in square feet.
- 5. For hotel or nursing home properties, provide a summary of the room types and room type quantities.
- Copies of Certificates of Occupancy, building permits, fire or health department inspection reports, elevator inspection certificates, roof or HVAC warranties, or any other similar, relevant documents.
- 7. The names of the local utility companies which serve the property, including the water, sewer, electric, gas, and phone companies.

- 8. The company name, phone number, and contact person of all outside vendors who serve the property, such as mechanical contractors, roof contractors, fire sprinkler or fire extinguisher testing contractors, and elevator contractors.
- 9. A summary of recent (over the last 5 years) capital improvement work which describes the scope of the work and the estimated cost of the improvements. Executed contracts or proposals for improvements. Historical costs for repairs, improvements, and replacements.
- 10. Records of system and material ages (roof, MEP, paving, finishes, furnishings).
- 11. Any brochures or marketing information.
- 12. Appraisal, either current or previously prepared.
- 13. Current occupancy percentage and typical turnover rate records (for commercial and apartment properties).
- 14. Previous reports pertaining to the physical condition of property.
- 15. ADA survey and status of improvements implemented.
- 16. Current / pending litigation related to property condition.

Your timely compliance with this request is greatly appreciated.

